	4:08-cv-0	TH CAROLF 3891-RBH RLINGTON	Date Filed	d 11/26	S/08 Entry Nu IN TH	ımber 1∙ Œ C⊍Jì	-1 Page 1 RT OF COM	of 10	LEAS
CARL JULIUS ROGERS, JR		tiff(s)	) ) cr	CIVIL ACTION COVERSHEET					
		Vs.	I iaini	an(s)	<i>)</i> ) )	<u>08</u> - C	P	<del></del>	
CITY POLIC ANTHO	E DEP	ARTMENT	HARTSVI OFFI	מיזוי	08 (	æ 1	6100	5	
AND O	FFICIAL C	ON IN HIS	INDIVID	UAL			e grane	>3	
			Defenda	nt(s)	•			<b>)</b> 1 696 <b>6</b>	generally to
(Please P Submitte	rint) d By:M.W. CO	CKBELL III			SC Bar#:	694	17	<u> </u>	Microsoft Section (Control of Control of Con
Address:	159 MAIN ST	REET			Telephone # Fax #:		-623-5911	No (2)	resource S
	CHESTERFIE	LD SC 29709			Other:	843-	-623-5700	<del></del>	170 m 17 m E
NOTE: Th	e cover sheet and	information conta	ined herein neit	er renio	E-mail:	cock	rell@justice.co	m	September 1
as requiredsigned, and	by law. This for dated. A conv of	m is required for t	he use of the Cle	rk of Co	es nor supplements the ort for the purpose of adant(s) along with the	ie filing and docketing,	i service of pleadi It must be filled o	ngs of other	papers
									<u> </u>
⊠ ws	*If Action is Judgment/Settlement do not complete								
☐ This c	ase is subject to						mplaint.	NOV 2	
This c	ase is subject to	MEDIATION	iv pursuant to	the Circi	IRY TRIAL deman uit Court Alternative Court Alternative D			s. of	
This c	ase is exempt fi		race accaency.				solution Rules.	ַ ד	
		NATU	RE OF AC	FION (	Check One Box Beld	2W)		ليا ا	
Construction  Construction  Debt Construction  Employ  Genera	of Contract (140)	☐ Dental Ma ☐ Legal Mal	onal Malpractice lpractice (200) practice (210) alpractice (220)	800000	Torts – Personal Injun Assault/Slander/Libel (3 Conversion (310) Motor Vehicle Accident Premises Liability (330) Products Liability (340) Personal Injury (350) Other (399)	(320) [ [ [ [	Real Proper Claim & Delive Condemnation Foreclosure (42 Mechanic's Lie Partition (440) Possession (450 Building Code 1 Other (499)	ery (400) (410) (50) n (430)	))
Inmate F PCR (500 Sexual Pre Mandamu Habeas Cc Other (599		Judgments/Sei  Death Settlen Foreign Judgi Magistrate's  Minor Settlen Transcript Judgi Lis Pendens ( Other (799)	nent (700) ment (710) fudgment (720) nent (730) Igment (740)	☐ Rei ☐ Jud ☐ Rel ☐ Pen ☐ For	Iministrative Law/Reliconstate Driver's License (icial Review (810) ief (820) manent Injunction (830) feiture (840) er (899)	(800) [ C E	Magistrate-Crin Municipal (930) Robate Court ( SCDOT (950) Worker's Comp	f (910) nitral (920) ) 940)	
	Special/Cor	mplex /Other						y Judge (98 هُوُ	30) (C.
☐ Environr ☐ Automob ☐ Medical	nental (600) vile Arb. (610) (620)	Pharmaceutica Unfair Trade F Other (699)	ds (630) Practices (640)				Employment Se	curry Comm	(991)
		<del></del>		* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	C			196	
Submi	tting Party Si	ignature:		- j, l		Date: _	11-20-	08	

**Note:** Frivolous civil proceedings may be subject to sanctions pursuant to SCRCP, Rule 11, and the South Carolina Frivolous Civil Proceedings Sanctions Act, S.C. Code Ann. §15-36-10 et. seq.

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )	
COUNTY OF DARLINGTON )	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT 08-CP-
Carl Julius Rogers, Jr.,	
) Plaintiff, )	08 CP 16 1005
)	SUMMONS
City of Hartsville, V. )	
Hartsville Police Department, )	
Officer Anthony Cotton in his ) Individual and Official Capacity, )	
Defendants . )	7
TO: The Defendant herein	ب ب

Page 2 of 10

YOU ARE HEREBY SUMMONED and required to answer the Complaint in this action of which a copy is herewith served upon you, and to serve a copy of your Answer to the said Complaint on the subscriber at his offices at the *BARRISTER BUILDING* OLDE TOWNE CENTRE 159 Main Street, Chesterfield, South Carolina, within thirty (30) days after the service hereof; exclusive of the day of such service; and if you fail to answer the Complaint within the time aforesaid, the Plaintiff in this action will apply to the Court for the relief demanded in the Complaint.

COCKRELL LAW FIRM, PC

M.W.Cockrell, III
SC BAR #69417
Olde Towne Centre
Barrister Building
159 Main Street
Chesterfield South Carolina 29709
843-623-5911
Attorney for the Plaintiff

November 20, 2008 Chesterfield, South Carolina

STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA )	INITITE COLUDT OF COMMONIDIE AC					
COUNTY OF DARLINGTON )	IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS FOURTH JUDICIAL CIRCUIT 08-CP-					
Carl Julius Rogers, Jr.,	08 CP 18 1 0 0 5					
Plaintiff, )						
)	COMPLAINT					
v. )						
City of Hartsville, )	Jury Trial Demanded					
Hartsville Police Department, )	Jury Trial Demanded					
Officer Anthony Cotton in his ) Individual and Official Capacity, )						
Defendants . )	1.78					

The Plaintiff, herein, complaining of the Defendants, would respectfully show unto this Honorable Court:

- 1) This Court has subject matter jurisdiction as these civil actions are based upon the common laws of South Carolina, S. C. Code Section 15-78-10, et seq., and U.S. Code of Laws 42 U.S.C. 1983, et seq.
- 2) This Court has personal jurisdiction over the defendants as the defendants committed tortuous acts in whole or part in Darlington County, South Carolina.
- 3) Venue in Darlington County is proper pursuant to S.C. Code Section 15-78-100(b).
- 4) The Plaintiff, Carl Julius Rogers, Jr. is sui juris and a citizen and resident of the County of Darlington, State of South Carolina.
- 5) Defendant, City of Hartsville is a municipal political body corporate and politic operating within the County of Darlington, State of South Carolina.

- 6) Defendant Hartsville Police Department is an agency and/or subdivision of the City of Hartsville.
- 7) Defendant, Officer Anthony Cotton is sui juris and a citizen and resident of the County of Darlington, State of South Carolina, and is named individually and in his official capacity as at all times relevant to this action, he was employed as a police officer with the City of Hartsville Police Department acted under color of state law and within the course and scope of his duties as an employee of the City of Hartsville Police Department, which is a division of the Defendant, City of Hartsville.
- 8) That on or about November 26, 2006, agents of Defendant City of Harstville and Hartsville Police Department, while acting within their official capacities, under color of state law and within the course and scope of their official duties with Defendant City of Harstville and Defedant Hartsville Police Department, did, in Darlington County, South Carolina, with excessive abrupt and brute force attack and injure the Plaintiff.
- 9) The acts of the Defendant Anthony Cotton striking the Plaintiff with his Police Vehicle and/or person, did cause Plaintiff to injure his left hip and right shoulder and as a result of this incident suffered injuries to his face, head and other parts of his body; these injuries have caused and will in the future cause great physical pain, suffering, mental anguish, emotional distress, and impairment of health and bodily efficiency; have caused the Plaintiff to spend money for medical services; have caused a permanent disability; and have caused scarring and disfigurement

### FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION (42 U.S.C. §1983- Unreasonable and Excessive Force)

10) That the foregoing allegations are realleged in their entirety as if set forth verbatim.

- 11) Defendant Anthony Cotton acted negligently, willfully, wantonly, and/or in reckless disregard for the Plaintiff's constitutionally protected rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution and used unreasonable and excessive force in placing Plaintiff under arrest.
- 12) Defendant Anthony Cotton's acts and omissions were done under the color of state and Municipal law and were in violation of Plaintiff's constitutionally protected rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 13) Defendant Anthony Cotton knew or should have known that his acts and omissions were in violation of Plaintiff's constitutionally protected rights under the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments of the United States Constitution.
- 14) Defendant City of Hartsville is vicariously, jointly and severally liable with and for the acts and omissions of Defendant Anthony Cotton.
- 15) As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant Anthony Cotton, Plaintiff has suffered physical and emotional injuries and has been damaged.

# FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION (Negligent Hiring and Supervision)

- 16) The allegations of the preceding paragraphs are hereby repeated and incorporated herein.
- 17) That Defendants City of Hartsville and Hartsville Police Department hired and supervised its agents who were involved in the incident wherein Plaintiff was unjustifiably injured.

- 18) That in hiring and supervising its agents Defendants City of Hartsville and Hartsville Police Department had a duty to hire agents and to supervise agents using reasonable and sufficient due care.
- 19) That Defendants City of Hartsville and Hartsville Police Department breached its duty to hire and supervise its agents who were involved in the aforementioned incident using reasonable and sufficient due care.
- 20) That Defendants City of Hartsville and Hartsville Police Department, upon information and belief, was aware that those of its agents who were involved in the aforementioned incident had, in the past, acted in violation of City and Department olicies and/or had stopped citizens for no legal cause in the past, and therefore Defendants were on notice of the potential for conduct on the part of its agents, similar to the conduct described in this Complaint.
- 21) That Defendant Hartsville Police Department was negligent, wanton, reckless and/or acted with deliberate indifference in the following particulars:
  - a) Failure to institute and follow reasonable hiring practices to insure that only qualified applicants were hired;
  - b) Failure to adequately train law enforcement personnel in public interaction, dispute resolution, proper arrest procedure, and basic first aid training;
  - c) In hiring Defendant Anthony Cotton when it knew, or should have known, that he was unqualified and not

suitable to be a police officer;

- d) In failing to supervise Defendant Anthony Cotton, when it should have known that Anthony Cotton had engaged in similar unlawful conduct in the past;
- e) In Failing to properly train the other officers at the scene to intercede when they observed Defendant applying unnecessary and excessive force againt the Plaintiffs;
- f) In leaving other Officers on the scene who failed to interfere and protect the Plaintiff from the wrongful and unlawful acts of Defendant Anthony Cotton; and
- g) In such other and further particulars as discovery will show.
- 22) That as a direct and proximate result of the negligence of Defendants City of Hartsville and Hartsville Police Department, Plaintiff has been damaged and is entitled to judgment in this in this matter, to a trial of the material facts by a jury, and to an award of damages for losses caused by Defendant's agents' actions in an amount to be determined by a jury.

#### FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION (Assault)

- That the foregoing allegations are realleged in their entirety as if set 23) forth verbatim.
- 24) Defendant, Anthony Cotton's reckless, willful and wanton actions with his hands, blunt objects and/or motor vehilce expressed a clear intent to inflict bodily harm upon the Plaintiff.
- 25) Defendant Anthony Cotton was not acting in self-defense nor did he have Plaintiff's consent.

- 26) As a result of Defendant Anthony Cotton's conduct, Plaintiff was placed in reasonable apprehension and fear of bodily harm and Plaintiff's fear was reasonable and justified.
- 27) As a result, Plaintiff suffered mental anguish and emotional trauma, which have caused Plaintiff to have to spend money for medical services, and has caused Plaintiff to lose money on the nature of wages and earnings.
- 28) Based on the above, Plaintiff is informed and believes that he is entitled to judgment for actual and punitive damages.

### FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Battery)

- 29) Plaintiff realleges his previous allegations as if fully stated herein and to the extent they are consistent herewith.
- 30) Defendant Anthony Cotton's reckless, willful and wanton actions with his hands blunt objects body and/or motor vehicle caused the infliction of forcible and harmful contact with Plaintiff, thereby causing him to suffer personal injuries.
- 31) Defendant Anthony Cotton was not acting in self-defense nor did he have Plaintiff's consent.
- 32) As a result, Plaintiff sustained physical harm to his head, neck, face and certain parts of his body, which have caused Plaintiff to undergo much physical pain and suffering, mental anguish, emotional distress and impairment of health and bodily efficiency, and has caused Plaintiff to have to spend money for medical services, and has caused Plaintiff to lose money on the nature of wages and earnings.

#### FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

#### (Negligence as to Anthony Cotton)

- 33) That the foregoing allegations are realleged in their entirety as if set forth verbatim.
- 34) Defendant Anthony Cotton had a duty to exercise reasonable care in determining his right to apply force to the Plaintiff and in his application of force to the Plaintiff.
- 35) Defendant Anthony Cotton was negligent, grossly negligent and/or reckless in determining his right to apply force to the Plaintiff and in his application of force to the Plaintiff.
- 36) As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant Anthony Cotton, Plaintiff has suffered physical and emotional injuries and has been damaged.

## FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION (Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress)

- 37) That the foregoing allegations are realleged in their entirety as if set forth verbatim.
- 38) The Defendant Anthony Cotton intentionally or recklessly inflicted severe emotional distress or was certain or substantially certain that such distress would result from his conduct described above.
- 39) Defendant Anthony Cotton's conduct was so extreme and outrageous as to exceed all possible bounds of decency and must be regarded as atrocious, and utterly intolerable in a civilized society.
- 40) The actions and conduct of Defendant Anthony Cotton, describe avoce, proximately caused Plaintiff's emotional stress.
- 41) The emotional distress suffered by the Plaintiff was severe so that no reasonable person could be expected to endure it.
- 42) As a direct and proximate result of the acts and omissions of Defendant Anthony Cotton, Plaintiff has suffered physical and emotional injuries and has been



damaged.

### Wherefore, the Plaintiff respectfully prays for a judgment as follows:

- a) For judgment against Defendant City of Hartsville, Hartsville Police Department, and Defendant Anthony Cotton, in his Official Capacity, for all damages that he may be legally entitled to, in such amounts as may be determined appropriate by a judge and jury upon trial in this action;
- b) For judgment against Defendant Anthony Cotton, individually, for all damages that he may be legally entitled to, in such amounts as may be determined appropriate by a judge and jury upon trial in this action;
- c) For attorney's fees, costs and expenses of this suit pursuant to 42 U.S.C.A. §1983; and
- d) For such other and further relief as this Honorable Court may deem necessary, just and proper.

Respectfully Submitted,

COCKRELL LAW FIRM, PC

M.W.Cockrell, III SC BAR #69417

Olde Towne Centre Barrister Building

159 Main Street

Chesterfield South Carolina 29709

843-623-5911

Attorney for the Plaintiff

November 20, 2008

Chesterfield, South Carolina